

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 10/801,204	Applicant(s) TAN ET AL.
Examiner LUONG T. NGUYEN		Art Unit 2622	
All Participants: (1) <u>LUONG T. NGUYEN</u> (2) <u>THOMAS F. WOODS (Reg. No. 36,726)</u> Date of Interview: <u>26 March 2010</u> Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description:		Status of Application: _____ (3) _____ (4) _____ Time: _____	
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: N/A Claims discussed: 9, 16. Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Mr. Woods agrees to the changes as indicated in the Examiner's Amendment.</i>			
Part III. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> /LUONG T NGUYEN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2622 </div> <div> (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) </div> </div>			